IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

KIMBRA CRISWELL,	
Plaintiff,)))
v.)	C.A. No. 05-CV-00321 GMS
LYDIA ADAIR MCFADDEN and CHRISTIANA)	
CARE HEALTH SERVICES, INC.,	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendants.	

APPENDIX TO DEFENDANT'S OPENING BRIEF

Respectfully submitted,

WHITE AND WILLIAMS LLP

JOHN D. BALAGUER (#2537)
NATALIE L. PALLADINO (#3878)
824 North Market Street, Suite 902
P.O. Box 709
Wilmington, DE 19899-0709
(302) 654-0424
Attorneys for Defendants,
Lydia Adair McFadden and
Christiana Care Health Services, Inc

Date: August 12, 2005

TABLE OF CONTENTS FOR APPENDIX

PAGES

PLEADINGS First Complaint filed in the Court of Common Pleas,	,
Philadelphia County, on May 19, 2004	A1-A11
Defendants' Preliminary Objections to Improper Personal Jurisdiction and Venue filed June 11, 2004	
Plaintiff, Kimbra Criswell's Response to Defendants Preliminary Objections to Improper Personal Jurisdiction and Venue	A48-A91
Order of Judge Arnold L. New, Court of Common Pleas, Philadelphia County, dated October 13, 2004	A92
Second Complaint filed in United States District Court for the District of Delaware [D.I. 1]	A93-A100
UNREPORTED CASES	
O'Donnell v. Nixon Uniform Service, Inc., 2003 WL 21203291 (Del. Super Ct.).	A101-A107
Quillen v. Knight, 1999 WL 33116503 (Del. Super Ct.)	A108-A110
Empire Financial Services, Inc., 2001 WL 755936 (Del. Super Ct.)	A111-A113
Gaspero v. Douglas, 1981 WL 10228 (Del. Super Ct.)	A114-A116
Ellis v. Davis, 1998 WL 281053 (Del. Super Ct.)	A117-A121
Ayers v. Jacobs & Crumplar, P.A., 1996 WL 769331 (Del. Super Ct.)	A122-A125
Lockwood v. Levinson, 1988 WL 46615 (Del. Super Ct.)	A126-A127
Moyer v. Markel, 1989 WL 147472 (Del. Super Ct.)	A128-A129
Twyman v. Rice, 1988 WL 32002 (Del. Super Ct.)	A131-A131
Pacific Ins. Co. v. Higgins, 1993 WL 133181 (Del. Ch. Ct.)	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

KIMBRA CRISWELL,	
Plaintiff,))
v.	C.A. No. 05-CV-00321 GMS
LYDIA ADAIR MCFADDEN and CHRISTIANA)))
CARE HEALTH SERVICES, INC.,	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendants.) }

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Natalie L. Palladino, Esquire do hereby certify that on this 12th day of August, 2005, two copies of the foregoing **APPENDIX TO DEFENDANT'S OPENING BRIEF** were served upon the following via E-file and U.S. Mail, postage pre-paid:

Richard R. Wier, Jr. Daniel W. Scialpi 1220 Market Street, Suite 600 Wilmington, DE 19801

WHITE and WILLIAMS LLP

natalie L. Palladera

JOHN D. BALAGUER (#2537)

NATALIE L. PALLADINO (#3878)

824 North Market Street, Suite 902

P.O. Box 709

Wilmington, DE 19899-0709

(302) 654-0424

Attorneys for Defendants,

Lydia Adair McFadden and

Christiana Care Health Services, Inc.

Ø5/24/2004

15:14

LEGAL RISK MANAGEMENT > 812155796076

NO.281 0003

diny for Paul

This NOT an Arbitration Matter JURY TRIAL IS DEMANDED

KATS, JAMISON, VAN DER VEEN & ASSOCIATES WHY FEE PAID

MICHAEL T. VAN DER VEEN, ESQUIRE

Identification No. 75616

NELSON LEVIN, ESQUIRE

Identification No. 49761 25 Bustleton Pike

Feasterville, PA 19053

(215) 396-9001

ATTEST

MAY 1 9 2004

S. WULKO

PHO. PROTHY Attorney for Plaintiff

Docket No.

KIMBRA CRISWELL

105 Shaw Avenue, Apt. A

Lewistowo, Pennsylvania 17044 Plaintiff,

MAY TERM, 2004

ŧ

002750

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

LYDIA ADAIR MCFADDEN

33 Cobblestone Court

Northeast, Maryland 21901

and '

CHRISTIANA HOSPITAL

4755 Ogletown-Stanton Road

Newark, Delaware 19718

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION - LAW

Complaint Civil Action: 20 - Other Personal Injury

NOTICE TO PLEAD

NOTICE .

You have been seed in court if you wish to defend against the claims set forth in the following pages, you must take action within recent (20) days after thin complaint and notice are served, by antering a written appearance personally or by attempt and figure at mixing with the court your defended to objectives to the claims set forth against you. You are worsed that if you fail to do so the uses may proceed. without you had a judgment may be entered against you by the court without further notice for any maney alarmed in the complaint or for any other distinct or relief requested by the Plaintiff You they lose memery or property of other rights

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE 'ONE OFFICE SET FORTH BRLOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP

> PHILADELPHIA BAR ASSOCIATION LAWYER REFERRAL AND INFORMATION SERVICE Ose Reading Carner Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 1910? Telephone 215-238-1701

AV30

Le bas demandado a usted en la corte. Si ustad quiera delenderte de amas demandes expuestes en las pignas signicales, ested time vente (20) dan de pigna al partir de la fecha de la demande y la nonficación. Hace falla asentar una phase al partir de la fecha de la demande y la nondicación. Mace fella asentar una companiención elevita o en perioda o con un prograd y entrepar a la centa en forma coartia sua defenyas e las objectiones — las demandas su contra de la persona. Sua averador que si usual no se defanda, la conte tomará medidas y punde combinquer la demanda en contra suya sin provio avisto y producción. Ademán, la corte synde decidir a favor fel demandante y requienc que usuad cumpla con todas las provisiones de esta dumanda. Usuad puede perder durante o esta peopulados o otros defeches imperiorinas para ested. LLEVE ESTA DEMANDA A UN ABOCADO IMMEDIATAMENTE. SI NO TIENE ABOCADO OS INO TIENDE EL ONERO SUPICIENTE DE PAGAR TAL SERVICIO, VAYA EN PURSONA O LLAME YOR TELEFONO A LA OFICINA CUYA DIRECCIÓN SE GACLENTRA ESCRITA ABAJO PARA AVERIGUAR DONDE SE PUEDE CONSEQUIR ASISTENCIA LEGAI.

ASSOCIACION DE LICENCIADOS DE FILADELFIA SERVICO DE REFERENCIA E ENPORMACION LECAL One Reading Const Filadelfis, Fernatylvania 19107 Tatefpag, 215-238-1701

A1

15:14

LEGAL RISK MANAGEMENT + 812155796876

0004 ND.281

Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County	ſ	Machinipanting Unit Order (Octored Num	ner) .
Trial Division Civil Cover Sheet		MAY 2004 - 002/250	的人的
PAINTIFF'S NAME		MAY 2004 002750	
Kimbra Criswell		Lydia Adair McFadden	-
PLAINTIFF'S ACCRESS 105 Shaw Ave. #A		DEFENDANTS ADDRESS 33 Cobblestone Court	
Lewistown, PA 17044		Northeast, MD 21901	
AND THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY		DEFENDANT'S NAME	A Maryeronius Barrer
PLAINTIFF'S NAME		Christiana Hospital	
PLAINTIFF S ADDRESS		OFFENDANTS ADDRESS	<u> </u>
POINTIF 3 AUDICESS		4755 Ogletown-Stanton F Newark, DE 19718	Rđ.
PLAINTIFF'S NAME		Defendant's name	Tables to the second
PLAINTIFF'S ADDRESS		DEFENDANT'S ACORESS	
		Marie and the second se	Alton de Septembro
	Com	CEMENT OF ACTION Petition Action	Note of Appear
1 2	inw E	of Summons Transfer From Other June 14	
Minor Court Append		Spillproped Minors W/OrSurvival	
CASE TYPE AND CODE (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)	- primoring		
20		•	
STATUTORY BASIS FOR CAUSE OF ACTION (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)	Liza W	And the second s	
1		•	
RELATED PENDING CASES (LIST BY CASE GAPTION AND DOCKET NU	/MBER)	·	IS CASE SUBJECT TO
RELATED PENDING CASES (LIST BY CASE CAPTION AND DOCKET NU	(MBER)	Navy-	GOORDINATION CREER?
RELATED PENDING CASES (LIST BY CASE GAPTION AND DOCKET NU	/MBER)		COORDINATION CREER? Yes No
RELATED PENDING CASES (LIST BY CASE GAPTION AND DOCKET NU	imber)		COORDINATION CROER? Yes No
RELATED PENDING CASES (LIST BY CASE GAPTION AND DOCKET NU	/MBER)	•	COORDINATION CREER? Yes No
TO THE PROTHONOTARY:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Yes No
TO THE PROTHONOTARY: Kindly enter my appearance on behalf of Plaintill Potitions		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Yes No
TO THE PROTHONOTARY:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Yes No
TO THE PROTHONOTARY: Kindly enter my appearance on behalf of PlaintiffPetitione Papers may be served at the address set forth below. NAME OF PLANTIEF'S PETITIONER SUAPPELLANT'S AFTORNEY)]anu Address (seé instructions)	Yes No
TO THE PROTHONOTARY: Kindly enter my appearance on behalf of PlaintiffPetitione Papers may be served at the address set forth below. NAME OF PLAINTIEF SPETITIONER SAPPELLANT'S AFTORNEY Michael T. Van der Veen		ADDRESS (SEÉ INSTRUCTIONS) 25 Bustleton Pike	Yes No
TO THE PROTHONOTARY: Kindly enter my appearance on behalf of PlaintiffPetitione Papers may be served at the address set forth below. NAME OF PLANTIEF'S PETITIONER SUAPPELLANT'S AFTORNEY	er/Appe)]anu Address (seé instructions)	Yes No
TO THE PROTHONOTARY: Kindly enter my appearance on behalf of PlaintiffPetitione Papers may be served at the address set forth below. NAME OF PLAINTIEF SPETITIONER SIAPPELLANT'S AFTORNEY Michael T. van der Veen PRONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER	er/Appe	ADDRESS (SEÉ INSTRUCTIONS) 25 Bustleton Pike	Yes No
TO THE PROTHONOTARY: Kindly enter my appearance on behalf of Plaintill Politioner Papers may be served at the address set forth below. NAME OF PLAINTIEF SPETITIONER SAPPELLANT'S AFTORNEY Michael T. van der Veen PHONE NUMBER (215) 396-9001 (215) 396-8388	er/Appe	ADDRESS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS) 25 Bustleton Pike Feasterville, PA 1905	Yes No
TO THE PROTHONOTARY: Kindly enter my appearance on behalf of Plaintiff Politioner Papers may be served at the address set forth below. NAME OF PLAINTIFF SPETITIONER SUPPELLANT'S ATTORNEY Michael T. van der Veen PHONE NUMBER (215) 396-9001 (215) 396-8388 SUPREME COURT IDENTIFICATION NO.	er/Appe	ADDRESS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS) 25 Bustleton Pike Feasterville, PA 1905 EMAIL ADDRESS	Yes No
TO THE PROTHONOTARY: Kindly enter my appearance on behalf of Plaintil/Petitioner Papers may be served at the address set forth below. NAME OF PLAINTIES SPETITIONER BAPPELLANT'S ATTORNEY Michael T. van der Veen PHONE NUMBER (215) 396-9001 (215) 396-8388 SUPREME COURT IDENTIFICATION NO. 75616	er/Appe	ADDRESS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS) 25 Bustleton Pike Feasterville, PA 1905 EMAIL ADDRESS	Yes No

05/24/2004 15:14 LEGAL RISK MANAGEMENT > 812155796076

NO. 281 0005

This NOT an Arbitration Matter JURY TRIAL IS DEMANDED

KATS, JAMISON, VAN DER VEEN & ASSOCIATES MICHAEL T. VAN DER VEEN, ESQUIRE Identification No. 75616
NELSON LEVIN, ESQUIRE Identification No. 49761
25 Bustleton Pike
Feasterville, PA 19053

(215) 396-9001		Attorney for Plaintiff
KIMBRA CRISWELL 105 Shaw Avenue, Apt. A Lewistown, Pennsylvania 17044 Plaintiff,	4	COURT OF COMMON PLEAS PHILADELPHIA COUNTY MAY TERM, 2004
VS.	-	Docket No.
LYDIA ADAIR MCFADDEN 33 Cobblestone Court Northeast, Maryland 21901 and CHRISTIANA HOSPITAL 4755 Ogletown-Stanton Road Newark, Delaware 19718 Defendants.	:	CIVIL ACTION - LAW

Complaint Civil Action: 20 - Other Personal Injury

- 1. Plaintiff, Kimbra Criswell. (hereinafter "Plaintiff")is an adult individual, residing at 105 Shaw Avenue, Apt. A, in Lewistown; Pennsylvania.
- 2. Defendant, Lydia Adair McFadden (hereinafter "McFadden"), is an adult individual residing at 33 Cobblestone Court, in Northeast, Maryland.
- 3. Defendant, Christian Hospital (hereinafter "Christiana"), is a business, company, entity, partnership, franchise, fictitious name, organization, hospital, medical facility and/ or corporation existing and/or qualifying under the laws of the State of

NO.281 0006

Delaware, with a registered office for the acceptance of service or a principal place of business at 4755 Ogletown-Stanton Road, in Newark, Delaware.

- 4. At all times relevant and material hereto, the Plaintiff was employed by Aureus Medical (hereinafter "Aureus"), working as an x-ray technician at Defendant Christiana Hospital.
- 5. At all times relevant and material hereto, Defendant, McFadden, was an employee, servant, workman, representative and/ or agent of Defendant, Christiana, and was acting within the scope and course of said employment and/ or agency.
- 6. At all times material and relevant hereto, Defendant, Christiana, was acting through their agents, servants, employees, workmen, and/ or representatives, said agents, servants, employees, workmen and representatives acting within the course and scope of their employment and/ or agency.
- 7. At all times material and relevant hereto. Defendant, Christiana, was a member, partner and/ or participant of Jefferson Health System, a primary care network, which at all times hereinafter mentioned, did regular, continuous and systematic business within the County of Philadelphia and Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 8. On or about May 23, 2002, at or around 9:30 am, the Plaintiff was present in the hallway of the operating room, on the second floor of Defendant, Christiana, when suddenly and without warning, Defendant, McFadden, negligently, recklessly, carelessly and improperly caused certain portable X-ray machine (hereinafter "Machine") to be moved forward, causing said machine to come into contact with the Plaintiff's body, thereby causing the Plaintiff to suffer sever and grievous injuries.
- At all times relevant and material hereto, said Machine was owned,
 operated, maintained, leased and/ or controlled by Defendant, Christiana.

NO.281 0007

- 10. This accident resulted solely from the negligence and carelessness of the Defendants, and was due in no manner whatsoever to any act or failure to act on the part of the Plaintiff.
- 11. As a direct and proximate result of this accident, the Plaintiff, suffered injuries to her left leg and foot, which may be or are serious and permanent, including, but not limited to left Achilles tendon rupture, Achilles tendonitis, Achilles tendonosis, and abnormal gate, requiring hospitalization.
- 12. As a direct, proximate and foreseeable result of said injuries, the Plaintiff has developed various medical conditions, including but not limited to ostopenia and permanent reflex sympathetic dystrophy (hereinafter "RSD"), which resulted in softening and demineralization of bones in the Plaintiff's left foot, thereby making said bones easily breakable.
- 13. In November of 2002, the Plaintiff made a step from a normal-size curb which resulted in injuries, which may be or are serious and permanent, including, but not limited to a fracture in the fourth metatarsal of the Plaintiffs' left foot, requiring hospitalization.
- 14. Said fracture was a direct, proximate and foreseeable result of said RSD condition and RSD-related softening and demineralization of bones and said fracture could not have occurred but for said RSD condition and RSD-related softening and demineralization of bones.
- 15. Said achilles tendon rupture, RSD and fracture did not result from any intervening or superceding causes and was due in no manner whatsoever to any act or failure to act on the part of the Plaintiff.

NO.281 PP08

- 16. At all times relevant and material hereto, the Plaintiff timely obtained adequate medical assistance and fully complied with all prescribed medical treatments.
- 17. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid accident and injuries, the Plaintiff has incurred expenses for the treatment of her injuries, has been disabled and not able to perform her usual functions, and has been caused great pain and suffering, to her great loss and damage.
- 18. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid accident and injuries, the Plaintiff has been obligated to receive and undergo medical attention and care for her injuries, and to incur various expenses for said care.
- 19. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid accident and injuries, the Plaintiff has suffered injuries, which may be in full or part a cosmetic disfigurement which is or may be permanent, irreparable, or severe.
- 20. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid accident and injuries, the Plaintiff has or may suffer severe loss and impairment of her earning capacity and power.
- 21. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid accident and injuries, the Plaintiff has been unable to attend to her daily chores, duties and occupations.
- As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid accident and injuries, the Plaintiff has incurred other financial expenses or losses which she may otherwise be entitled to recover.
- 23. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid accident and injuries, the Plaintiff has suffered severe physical pain, aches, mental anguish, humiliation and inconveniences, and loss of life's pleasures and she may continue to suffer the same for an indefinite period of time in the future.

NO.281 P009

COUNT I PLAINTIFF v. DEFENDANT MCFADDEN

- 24. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference thereto, paragraphs 1-23, inclusive, as though same were set forth herein at length.
- 25. The negligence, carelessness and recklessness of Defendant, McFadden, consisted of the following acts and omissions:
 - (a) failure to properly move said Machine,
 - (b) moving said Machine without proper lookout;
 - violation and/ or failure to comply with the appropriate procedures for moving of said Machine;
 - (d) causing said Machine to come into contact with the Plaintiff's body;
 - (c) failure to properly operate, control and move said Machine;
 - (f) failure to act reasonably under the circumstances;
 - (g) failure to act with the reasonable prudence and care of a reasonable person in the same or like circumstances;
 - (h) operating, controlling and moving said Machine without due regard to the presence and safety of the Plaintiff,
 - (g) violation and/ or failing to comply with laws, guidelines, codes, statutes, laws, regulations, standards, policies and ordinances that are applicable and in effect; and
 - (h) being otherwise negligent and careless as may be determined through discovery and/ or trial of this case.

05/24/2004 15:14 LEGAL RISK MANAGEMENT > 812155796076

NO.281 0013

VERIFICATION

I, Nelson Levin, hereby state that I am the attorney for the plaintiff herein, that I am acquainted with the facts set forth in the foregoing Complaint and that the same are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief and that this statement is made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Michael T. van der Veen, Esquire Nelson Levin, Esquire Attorneys for Plaintiff

A8

NO.281 0010

26. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendant, McFadden, the Plaintiff sustained serious and permanent injuries and other damages as described more fully above.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff demands damages of Defendant, McFadden, in a sum in excess of \$50,000.00, plus interest, costs and attorney's fees.

COUNT II PLAINTIFF v. DEFENDANT CHRISTIANA

- 27. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference thereto, paragraphs 1-26, inclusive, as though same were set forth herein at length.
- 28. The negligence, carelessness and recklessness of Defendant, Christiana, consisted of the following acts and omissions:
 - (a) negligently entrusting said Machine to Defendant, McFadden;
 - failing to properly and adequately hire, supervise, train, instruct, and/ or regulate its agents, servants and employees, in particular, Defendant,
 McFadden;
 - (c) permitting an inexperienced and incompetent person to operate said

 Machine;
 - (d) failing to act with due care and regard for the position and safety of others.in particular, the Plaintiff;
 - failing to control the acts and conduct of Defendant, McFadden, its
 employee and/ or agent, and instead, acquiescing in said Defendant's
 negligence and carelessness;
 - (f) failing to provide a safe work environment;
 - (g) failing to properly and adequately maintain said Machine; and

NO.281 P011

- (h) such other negligence acts and/or failures to act as may be discovered during the course of discovery in this action;
- 29. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendant, Christiana, the Plaintiff sustained serious and permanent injuries and other damages as described more fully above.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff demands damages of Defendant, Christiana, in a sum in excess of \$50,000.00, plus interest, costs and attorney's fees.

COUNT III PLAINTIFF v. DEFENDANT CHRISTIANA

- 30. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference thereto, paragraphs 1-32, inclusive, as though same were set forth herein at length.
- 31. The negligence and/or carelessness of Defendant, Christiana's, agents, servants, workmen, drivers, employees, representatives, managers and/or independent contractors, in particular, Defendant, McFadden, which occurred within the course and scope of employment and/or agency with Defendant, Christiana, is imputed to said Defendant and said Defendant is liable for same.
- 32. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendant, Christiana, the Plaintiff sustained serious and permanent injuries and other damages as described more fully above.

05/24/2004 15:14 LEGAL RISK MANAGEMENT + 812155796076

NO.281 0012

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff demands damages of Defendant, Christiana, in a sum in excess of \$50,000.00, plus interest, costs and attorney's fees.

KATS, JAMISON, VAN DER VEEN & ASSOCIATES

Dated: 5/19/04

By: Michael T. van der Veen, Esquire

Nelson Levin, Esquire Attorneys for Plaintiff

A11

Filed 08/12/2005 Case 1:05-cv-00321-GMS Document 5 PHILADELPHIA COURT OF COMMON PLEAS Control Number: MOTION COURT COVER SHEET 061091 FOR COURT USE ONLY (Responding parties must include this number on all filings) **ACTION ASSIGNED TO JUDGE:** JUN 1 1 2004 MAY Term, 2004 Civil Administration Do not telephone Judge for status. Do not send Judge courtesy copies. No. 002750 KIMBRA CRISWELL Name of Filing Party: VS. LYDIA ADAIR McFADDEN and CHRISTIANA HOSPITAL (Check One) ☐ Plaintiff □ Defendant (Check One) Movant ☐ Respondent Has another motion been decided in this case? ☐ Yes ⊠ No pe of Motion: Defendants Lydia Adair McFadden and Christiana No. Is another motion pending? ☐ Yes care Health Services, Inc.'s (Incorrectly Identified As Christiana If the answer to any of the above questions is yes, you must identify the Hospital) Preliminary Objections to Improper and Personal judge, and the relationship of the motion(s) to the present motion, including Jurisdiction and Venue the status of the motion(s). Please attach a separate sheet with your statement. Response due: JULY 1, 2004 OR Response to: II. CASE STATUS (answer all questions) OTHER PARTIES (Name, address and telephone number of all counsel of record and Is this case: unrepresented parties. Attach a stamped addressed envelope for each COMMERCE PROGRAM attorney of record and unrepresented party.) Name of Judicial Team Leader: Applicable Motion Deadline Has deadline been previously extended by the Court? Nelson Levin, Esquire ☐ Yes ☐ No Kats, Jamison, Van Der Veen & Associates 25 Bustleton Pike DAY FORWARD/MAJOR JURY (Jury Demand & Fee Paid) Feasterville, PA 19053 Name of Judicial Team Leader: Mary Doyle Applicable Motion Deadline: June 14, 2004 Has deadline been previously extended by the Court? ☐ Yes ⊠ No (If needed, use separate sheet to answer) **NON JURY** C. Date Listed: **ARBITRATION Arbitration Date:** ARBITRATION APPEAL Listed on: F. OTHER: Date Listed: By filing this document and signing below, the moving party certifies that this motion/petition, along with all documents filed in the Motions Program, will be immediately served upon all counsel unrepresented parties, in accordance with Phila. Civil Rule *206.1(C). Furthermore, moving party verifies that the answers made herein are true and correct and understands that sanctions may be imposed for inaccurate or incomplete answers. Gregory F. Brown, Esquire 90239

This Motion will be forwarded to the Court after the Response Date. No extension of the Response Date will be granted even if the parties so stipulate.

(Print Name)

(Attorney I.D. No.)

(Attorney Signature/Unrepresented Party)

Case 1.05-cv-00321-Givi5 Document 5	Filed 06/12/2005 Page 16 01 25		
WHITE AND WILLIAMS LLP BY: John D. Balaguer Gregory F. Brown Identification No. 45108/90239 1800 One Liberty Place Philadelphia, PA 19103-7395 215.864.7000/7150	Attorneys for Defendants, Lydia Adair McFadden and Christiana Care Health Services, Inc. (Incorrectly identified as Christiana Hospital)		
KIMBRA CRISWELL	: PHILADELPHIA COUNTY		
Plaintiff,	: COURT OF COMMON PLEAS		
v. LYDIA ADAIR McFADDEN and CHRISTIANA HOSPITAL	: MAY TERM, 2004 : NO. 002750 :		
Defendants.	: :		
ORDER			
AND NOW, this day of	, 2004, upon consideration of		
Defendants Lydia Adair McFadden and Christiana Care Health Services, Inc. (Incorrectly			
identified as Christiana Hospital)'s Preliminary Objections to Improper Jurisdiction and			
Venue, and any response thereto, it is hereby ORDERED and DECREED that said			
Preliminary Objections are GRANTED , and that th	is matter is DISMISSED WITH		
PREJUDICE.			
BY TH	E COURT:		
Metasyrina delization el	J.		

DOCS_PH 1594747v1

WHITE AND WILLIAMS LLP

BY: John D. Balaguer Gregory F. Brown Identification No. 45108/90239 1800 One Liberty Place Philadelphia, PA 19103-7395 215.864.7000/7150 Attorneys for Defendants, Lydia Adair McFadden and Christiana Care Health Services, Inc. (Incorrectly identified as Christiana Hospital)

KIMBRA CRISWELL

Plaintiff,

v.

LYDIA ADAIR McFADDEN

and

CHRISTIANA HOSPITAL

Defendants.

PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

MAY TERM, 2004

NO. 002750

ATTEST

JUN 1 1 2001

清新縣

DEFENDANTS LYDIA ADAIR MCFADDEN AND CHRISTIANA CARE HEALTH SERVICES, INC.'S (INCORRECTLY IDENTIFIED AS CHRISTIANA HOSPITAL) PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS TO IMPROPER PERSONAL JURISDICTION AND VENUE

Defendants Lydia Adair McFadden and Christiana Care Health Services, Inc.,

(Incorrectly identified as Christiana Hospital, and referred to herein as "Defendant

Christiana Care Health Services, Inc."), by and through their attorneys, White and

Williams LLP, hereby submit the instant Preliminary Objections to Improper Jurisdiction

and Venue, and in support thereof aver as follows:

- Plaintiff commenced this personal injury action on or about May 19, 2004,
 by filing a Complaint in the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County. <u>See</u>
 Complaint (Exhibit "A").
- 2. The Complaint was served by U.S. Mail on the Defendant on May 24, 2004.

- 3. The Complaint includes allegations of negligence on the part of Lydia Adair McFadden, an x-ray technician, and her employer, Christiana Care Health Services, Inc. Id. at ¶¶ 2, 3, 6, and 24-29.
- 4. The Complaint alleges that the Defendants were negligent in allowing a portable x-ray machine to come into contact with Plaintiff's foot while she was on the second floor of Christiana Hospital in Newark, Delaware, on May 23, 2002. <u>Id.</u> at ¶ 8.
- 5. The Complaint alleges that said contact caused Plaintiff to suffer injuries to her left leg and foot. Id. at ¶ 11-15.
- 6. The Complaint alleges that Christiana Care Health Services, Inc., is a member of the Jefferson Health System. Specifically, Paragraph seven of the Complaint alleges: "At all times material and relevant hereto, Defendant, Christiana, was a member, partner, and/or participant of Jefferson Health System, a primary care network, which at all times hereinafter mentioned, did regular, continuous, and systematic business within the County of Philadelphia and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania." Id. at ¶ 7.
- In fact, Christiana Hospital is not a corporation. Christiana Hospital is a 7. building owned by Christiana Care Health Services, Inc., a Delaware Corporation. See Affidavit of Buddy Elmore, Senior Vice President of Finance and Managed Care of Christiana Care Health Services, Inc. (Exhibit "B").
- 8. Furthermore, Christiana Care Health Services, Inc. is not incorporated in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, does not engage in regular, continuous, and

systematic business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and is not associated with any Pennsylvania individuals or organizations. Id.

- 9. Finally, Christiana Care Health Services, Inc. is not a member of the Jefferson Health System. Id.
- The Complaint further alleges that Defendant Lydia Adair McFadden was 10. an "employee, servant, workman, representative and/or agent of Defendant, Christiana," and that she resided at 33 Cobblestone Court, Northeast, Maryland. Id. at ¶¶ 2, 5.
- 11. The Complaint does not allege that any of the actions attributable to Defendant McFadden occurred in Philadelphia County.
- 12. Thus, the apparent basis of Plaintiff's assertion that Pennsylvania has personal jurisdiction over Defendant McFadden, and that Philadelphia County is the proper venue for the claims against her, is her status as an employee of Christiana Care Health Services, Inc.
- I. Preliminary Objection Pursuant to Rule 1028(a)(1) Based Upon Improper Personal Jurisdiction Over Moving Defendants.
- 13. Pennsylvania Rule of Civil Procedure 1028(a)(1) provides that preliminary objections may be filed if a court lacks jurisdiction over the person of the defendant. See Pa.R.C.P. 1028(a)(1).
- 14. Pennsylvania's "long arm" statute, 42 Pa.C.S.A. § 5322, describes the bases of a Pennsylvania court's personal jurisdiction over persons outside of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. See 42 Pa.C.S.A. § 5322.

- 15. The long-arm statute provides that a Pennsylvania tribunal may exercise personal jurisdiction over any person "who acts directly or by an agent, as to a cause of action or other matter arising from such person . . . transacting any business in this Commonwealth." Id.
- 16. The long-arm statute defines the following activities as "transacting business" for purposes of the rule:
 - (1) the doing by any person in the Commonwealth of a series of similar acts for the purpose of realizing pecuniary benefit;
 - (2) the doing of a single act in the Commonwealth for the purpose of realizing pecuniary benefit, or otherwise accomplishing an object with the intention of initiating a series of such acts:
 - (3) the shipping of merchandise directly or indirectly into the Commonwealth:
 - (4) the engaging of any business or profession within the Commonwealth:
 - (5) the ownership or use of property within the Commonwealth;
 - (6) contracting to supply services or things in the Commonwealth;
 - (7) causing harm or tortious injury in the Commonwealth by an act or omission inside or outside of the Commonwealth: or
 - (8) having an interest in, using, or possessing any real property in the Commonwealth.

See 42 Pa.C.S.A. 5322(a).

17. Pennsylvania common law provides that "a tribunal of this Commonwealth may exercise personal jurisdiction over a person who transacts any business in this Commonwealth." See Fidelity Leasing, Inc. v. Limestone Co. Bd. of Education., 758 A.2d 1207, 1211 (Pa. Super. 2000).

- 18. Conversely, "the constitutional limitations on the assertion of specific personal jurisdiction over a non-resident defendant are as follows: '(1) the non-resident defendant must have sufficient minimum contacts with the forum state and (2) the assertion of in personam jurisdiction must comport with fair play and substantial justice." Aventis Pasteur, Inc. v. Alden Surgical Co., Inc., 2004 Pa. Super. 138, 5, A.2d (Pa. Super. 2004)(citing Burger King Corp. v. Rudzewicz, 471 U.S. 462 (1985)).
- 19. The United States Supreme Court has held that a state's exercise of personal jurisdiction over an out-of-state defendant is proper only where:

the defendant could reasonably anticipate being called to defend itself in the forum . . . Random, fortuitous[,] and attenuated contacts cannot reasonably notify a party that it may be called to defend itself in a foreign forum, and thus, cannot support the exercise of personal jurisdiction. That is, the defendant must have purposefully directed its activities to the forum and conducted itself in a manner indicating that it has availed itself of the forum's privileges and benefits such that it should also be subjected to the forum state's laws.

Id. (quoting Burger King, 471 U.S. at 281).

- 20. In this case, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania does not have personal jurisdiction over Defendants McFadden and Christiana Care Health Services, Inc.
- 21. Specifically, Christiana Care Health Services, Inc., does not engage in regular, continuous, and systematic business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania in any of the ways set forth in 42 Pa.C.S.A. § 5322(a).
- 22. Moreover, Christiana Care Health Services, Inc., does not have the sufficient minimum contacts with Pennsylvania necessary to be subject to Pennsylvania's jurisdiction. See Affidavit, Exhibit "B."

- 23. Thus, Pennsylvania's assertion of personal jurisdiction over Defendant Christiana Care Health Services, Inc. in this matter would be contrary to Pennsylvania statutory and common law, as well as United States Supreme Court doctrine.
- 24. Furthermore, there is no independent basis for Pennsylvania's assertion of personal jurisdiction over Defendant Lydia McFadden because she is not a resident of Pennsylvania, and none of the conduct attributed to her in the Complaint occurred in Pennsylvania.
- 25. Plaintiff's only remaining theory of personal jurisdiction with regard to Defendant McFadden is apparently based upon McFadden's alleged employment/agency relationship with Christiana Care Health Services, Inc., over which Pennsylvania has no personal jurisdiction.
- 26. Therefore, there are no facts to support Pennsylvania's assertion of personal jurisdiction over Defendant Lydia McFadden.
- 27. In sum, Pennsylvania's assertion of personal jurisdiction over each of the Defendants in this matter would be contrary to Pennsylvania statutory and common law, as well as United States Supreme Court doctrine.
- 28. Accordingly, Plaintiff's claims against Moving Defendants should be dismissed with prejudice because no Pennsylvania tribunal, including this Court, can claim personal jurisdiction over Moving Defendants.

- II. Preliminary Objection Pursuant to Rule 1028(a)(1) Based Upon Improper Venue.
- 29. Procedurally, the proper method to raise the issue of improper venue is by way of Preliminary Objections. See: Pa.R.C.P. 1006(e) and 1028(a)(1).
- Pennsylvania Rule of Civil Procedure 1006(b) governs venue, and 30. provides that "[a]ctions against the following defendants . . . may be brought in and only in the counties designated by the following rules: . . . corporations and similar entities, Rule 2179." See Pa.R.C.P. 1006(b).
- Pennsylvania Rule of Civil Procedure 2179(a) provides that "a personal 31. action against a corporation or similar entity may be brought in and only in (1) the county where its registered office or principal place of business is located; (2) a county where it regularly conducts business; (3) the county where the cause of action arose; or (4) a county where a transaction or occurrence took place out of which the cause of action arose." See Pa.R.C.P. 2179(a).
- 32. As this action involves a claim by Plaintiff, an individual, against Christiana Care Health Services, Inc., a corporation, Pennsylvania Rule of Civil Procedure 2179 is applicable to the determination of venue with regard to Christiana Care Health Services, Inc.
- Rule 2179(a) dictates that venue is improper in Philadelphia County, 33. Pennsylvania, because Philadelphia County is not a county where Christiana Care Health Services, Inc.'s registered office is located.
 - 34. Rule 2179(a) also dictates that venue is improper in Philadelphia County

because Philadelphia County is not a county where Christiana Care Health Services, Inc. regularly conducts business.

- 35. Rule 2179(a) further dictates that venue is improper in Philadelphia County because Philadelphia County is not the county where the cause of action arose. According to the Complaint, the cause of action arises solely from events that occurred in Newark, Delaware.
- 36. Finally, Rule 2179 dictates that venue is improper in Philadelphia County because Philadelphia County is not a county where a transaction or occurrence took place out of which Plaintiff's cause of action arises.
- 37. Consequently, under Rule 2179, venue is improper in Philadelphia County with respect to Plaintiff's claims against Defendant Christiana Care Health Services, Inc.
- 38. Plaintiff's claims against Defendant McFadden, an individual, are governed by Pennsylvania Rule of Civil Procedure 1006(a), which applies to claims against individuals. See Pa.R.C.P. 1006(a).
- 39. Pennsylvania Rule of Civil Procedure 1006(a) provides that "an action against an individual may be brought in and only in a county in which the individual may be served or in which the cause of action arose or where a transaction or occurrence took place out of which the cause of action arose or in any other county authorized by law. Id.
- 40. As the Complaint alleges that Defendant McFadden resides in Maryland, and that the cause of action against Defendant McFadden arises solely from events that

occurred in Newark, Delaware, Rule 1006(a) dictates that venue is improper in Philadelphia County with respect to Plaintiff's claims against Defendant McFadden.

41. In sum, under the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure, the venue of this case is improper with respect to both Defendants, and this case should be dismissed.

WHEREFORE, Moving Defendants Lydia Adair McFadden and Christiana Care
Health Services Inc. (incorrectly identified as Christiana Hospital) respectfully request
this Honorable Court to grant the instant Preliminary Objections to Improper Jurisdiction
and Venue, and to dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint with prejudice.

Respectfully submitted,

WHITE AND WILLIAMS LLP

By:

John D. Balaguer, Esquire Gregory F. Brown, Esquire Lydia Adair McFadden and

Christiana Care Health Services, Inc. (Incorrectly Identified as Christiana

Hospital)

Dated: June 11, 2004